



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**United States Patent and Trademark Office**  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,048	12/01/2003	Becky Jean Gibson	260-001	2653

44185 7590 04/18/2007  
LOTUS AND RATIONAL SOFTWARE  
McGuinness & Manaras LLP  
125 NAGOG PARK  
ACTON, MA 01720

EXAMINER
----------

SHIH, HAOSHIAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2173

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	04/18/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/726,048

Applicant(s)

GIBSON ET AL.

Examiner

Haoshian Shih

Art Unit

2173

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-27 are pending in this application and have been examined in response to application filed on 12/01/2003.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. **Claims 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.**

4. As to claim 25, the claim recites "A computer data signal embodied in a carrier wave". Signals do not fall within one of the four categories of patent eligible subject matter.

5. Claims 26-27 are rejected under similar rationale due to their dependency to claim 25.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**7. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over**

**Mag Hourihan (Hourihan, "Using JavaScript to Create a Powerful GUI,**

**<http://web.archive.org/web/20021220173657/http://www.oreillynet.com/lpt/a/1449>**)

**in view of W3C HTML 4.01 specification (W3C, [http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-](http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-html401-19991224/)**

**html401-19991224/)** and in further view of Yehuda Shiran (Shiran, "Creating A modal Dialog Box").

**8. As to INDEPENDENT claim 1, Hourihan discloses a method for creating at least one accessible graphical image, comprising providing said at least one accessible graphical image within a graphical object (pg.2, paragraph Building a Simple Toolbar, fig.1; "creating a simple toolbar to hold three buttons"), wherein said providing said at least one accessible graphical image is responsive to at least one image command (pg. 2, paragraph Using JavaScript to Manipulate Text Selections, "function format\_sel()"; pg.4, paragraph Putting it All Together With HTML, "onclick="format\_sel('b')"; "onclick" represents a mouse click event, "format\_sel('b') represent a Bold text changing command), said image command including a first attribute determining the processing of at least one predetermined mouse click event (pg.4, paragraph Putting it All Together With HTML, "onclick="format\_sel()"; "onclick" represents a mouse click event), wherein said first attribute have parameters indicating a software routine operable to perform a predetermined function associated with said accessible graphical image (pg. 2,**

Art Unit: 2173

paragraph Using JavaScript to Manipulate Text Selections, "function format\_sel()");.

Hourihaan does not disclose a second attribute that handles keyboard event and the graphical object is a modal dialog box.

In the same field of endeavor, W3C discloses an attribute that handles keyboard event (ch. Index of Attributes, attribute "onkeypress"; ch. 17.11.1 Tabbing navigation section Tabbing keys, " "enter" key is used to activate a selected element"). W3C does not disclose a modal dialog box.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teaching of Hourihan *and* W3C before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the graphical object taught by Hourihan *to* include keyboard events taught by W3C with the motivation being to increase the usability of the graphical object.

In the same field of endeavor, Shiran discloses the graphical object is a modal dialog box (pg. 1; window.showModalDialog() function call).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teaching of Hourihan *and* W3C *and the teaching of* Shiran before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the multi-accessible graphical object taught by Hourihan *and* W3C to include a modal dialog box taught by Shiran with the motivation being to provide a program alert in response to important conditions (pg.1, paragraph 1).

9. As to **INDEPENDENT** claim 8 is similar to claim 1, it is rejected under same rationale.

10. As to **INDEPENDENT** claim 15 is similar to claim 1, it is rejected under same rationale.

11. As to **INDEPENDENT** claim 22 is similar to claim 1, it is rejected under same rationale.

12. As to **INDEPENDENT** claim 25 is similar to claim 1, it is rejected under same rationale.

13. As to claims 2, 9, 16, 23 and 26, W3C discloses wherein said at least one image command further comprises a third attribute having a parameter indicating an ordinal value to be associated with said at least one graphical image, wherein said ordinal value represents a relative position within an ordering of graphical images within said modal dialog box graphical object, whereby a user is allowed to access said at least one graphical image by using a keyboard action resulting in ones of said graphical images within said modal dialog box being sequentially accessed responsive to said ordering of graphical images within said modal dialog box (ch. 17.11.1 Tabbing navigation).

Art Unit: 2173

14. As to claims 3, 10, 17, 24 and 27, Hourihan discloses wherein said at least one image command further comprises a fourth attribute having a parameter including text associated with said at least one graphical image (pg. 4, paragraph Putting it all together with HTML; "alt" attribute). Hourihan does not specifically disclose wherein said text is accessible to a screen reader program for conversion to an audio output signal.

W3C discloses wherein said text is accessible to a screen reader program for conversion to an audio output signal (ch. 13.8 How to specify alternate text).

15. As to claims 4, 11 and 18, Hourihan discloses wherein said at least one image command is stored within a document containing formatting information for a user interface, wherein said document is a Web page, and wherein said image command is a tag of a mark-up programming language (pg.2, paragraph Building a Simple Toolbar, fig.1; pg. 4-5, paragraph Putting it All Together With HTML).

16. As to claims 5, 12 and 19 W3C discloses wherein said at least one predetermined keyboard event comprises detection of a user pressing the enter key (ch. Index of Attributes, attribute "onkeypress"; ch. 17.11.1 Tabbing navigation section Tabbing keys, " "enter" key is used to activate a selected element").

17. As to claims 6, 13 and 20, Hourihan discloses wherein said at least one accessible graphical image comprises a plurality of accessible graphical images (pg.2 paragraph Building a Simple Toolbar, fig.1; a bold, an italic and a link image), wherein each of said accessible graphical images is associated with a respective one of a plurality of predetermined functions, wherein each of said plurality of predetermined functions comprises one of a set of tool bar accessible functions (pg. 2, paragraph Using JavaScript to Manipulate Text Selections, "function format\_sel()"; pg. 4-5, paragraph Putting it All Together With HTML, "onclick="format\_sel ('l'), "onclick="format\_sel('b'), "onclick="insert\_link(")).

18. As to claims 7, 14 and 21, Hourihan discloses wherein said plurality of predetermined functions comprise text formatting operations, and wherein said software routine operable to perform said predetermined function is further operable to perform an associated one of said text forwarding operations (pg.2-3 paragraph Using JavaScript to Manipulate Text Selections; "function format\_sel(")).

### **Conclusion**

19. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teaches web document GUI and editing.



20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Haoshian Shih whose telephone number is (571) 270-1257. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 0730-1700.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (571)272-4048. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HSS

  
**TADESSE HAILU**  
*Patent Examiner*